Characterizing Fluidization from movies using Artificial Intelligence and Clustering Techniques

Sandeep Rajput

Dr. Duane D. Bruns





- ✓ Complex processes
- ✓ Need to know the 'process state'
- ✓ Improve control and monitoring; Predict possible problems
- ✓Measurements
 - Temperature, Pressure, composition, etc.
 - Audio-Visual Information
- ✓ Utilize operator knowledge

GOAL

Design Expert System to predict 'process state' online





System Preview

✓ Fluidized bed as a complex non-linear process
✓ CFD :MFIX simulation; Daw-Halow model
■Computer code being developed by a consortium of companies
✓ Movies or strings of frames (48 x 64) : 100 every second
✓ Intricate information; high redundancy

✓ Density information on the matrix of frame
✓ 3 gas flow rates : 50, 90 and 100 cc/min
✓ Neural network or clustering methods to divine the 'state'





A typical frame in the 'movie'

Figure 1: A typical Frame 0.9 45 0.85 Zone 3 40 0.8 35 0.75 30 0.7 0.65 25 Zone 2 0.6 20 0.55 15 0.5 10 Zone 1 0.45 5 0.4 30 10 20 40 50 60

The color (scale on right) relates to void fraction, or fraction of gas



Stills from the 'movie'

Figure 3 : Frames for flow rate of 90 cm/s



Frame 1100



Frame 1105



Frame 1110



Frame 1115

Frame 1120



Frame 1125



Frame 1130



Frame 1135



Frame 1140



Frame 1145

-		
Frame	11	50

Frame 1155



Frame 1160



Frame 1165



Frame 1170



Frame 1175



Frame 1180



Frame 1185



Frame 1190



Frame 1195



Frame 1210



Frame 1215



Frame 1220



Frame 1225



Frame 1230



Frame 1235



Frame 1240



Frame 1245



Void fraction time series





Dimension reduction using engineering sense

- ✓ Dimension too high. $64 \ge 48$
- ✓ Redundancy of information
- \checkmark Identify the points where most variation occurs

✓ Identify 50 pixels with highest variance
✓ Identify a zone with highest variance
✓ Zone 2 or middle zone : gas-solid interface
✓ Check for preservation of information



Dimension reduction using engineering sense

0.09

0.08

0.07

0.06

0.05

0.04

0.03

0.02

0.01

0

Figure 4: Contour plot of local variance/mean



Ratios of variances to means High (maroon) Low (Blue)



50 pixels with most variation (brown)



Spectral Power for select pixels and void fraction



Spectral power vector as the raw feature vector



Further dimension reduction using multivariate techniques

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or K-L Transform C = covariance matrix (symmetric), then



Project vectors on principal components



Further dimension reduction using multivariate techniques

Fisher's information criterion

Discriminatory Power of each feature

$$J_{f_k}(i,j) = J_{f_k}(j,i) = \frac{\|\mu_{i,f_k} - \mu_{j,f_k}\|^2}{\sigma_{i,f_k}^2 + \sigma_{j,f_k}^2} \text{ for } k = 1,2,..L$$

Choose features with highest discriminatory power



Fischer's information criterion to reduce dimensionality







Neural Networks

✓ Mimic connections between human neurons in the brain
 ✓ Learn by back propagating the error
 ✓ Can learn non-linear or curved separation boundary
 ✓ A priori information is required
 ✓ Two ways to separate more than 2 classes

Clustering Methods

Utilizing the 'similarity' between two vectors to group them
Supervised or Unsupervised training
Can learn non-linear or curved separation boundary

- ✓ Clustering can be arbitrary
- ✓ *A priori* information not necessary







Results with clustering methods (K-means)



Zero error means correct classification





b٢



- ✓ PCA could separate different classes
- ✓ Fisher's Information Criterion is more efficient
- \checkmark Easy to separate dynamically far states
- \checkmark Hard to separate dynamically similar states
- ✓ Neural network more effective than clustering methods





- ✓ Nonlinear measures instead of power spectrum
- ✓ Wavelets
- ✓ 'Fuzzy' clustering
- ✓ Using multivariate measurements

Potential fields of application

- ✓ Track change in global dynamics
- ✓ Event Detection



Thank you!